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## Introduction

- The NCAA D1 Men's Basketball Tournament is an annual single-elimination competition that attracts widespread attention in the United States.
64 teams (after the First Four) compete in four regions, each team assigned a seed number ( 1 to 16 ).
- Smaller seed numbers represent stronger teams. Seed 1 is the strongest in each region.
- Generating a bracket is the process of picking the winners of all 63 games.
Number of possible brackets:
$9,223,372,036,854,775,808$
- Objectives
- Design a model to capture the probability mass
function for all possible brackets.
- Use the model to sample from this pool of brackets.


## Power Model

- Models the relative strength of the two teams as a power function of their seed numbers.
Estimates the associated parameters using historical tournament results since 1985 (the modern era). - Let p denote the proportion of times seed s1 defeated
seed s2 since 1985 seed s2 since 1985

$$
\frac{p}{1-p}=\left(\frac{s_{2}}{s_{1}}\right)^{\alpha_{j}\left(s_{1}, s_{2}\right)}
$$

- The Alpha value of seeds s1 and s 2 in Round j is computed as

$$
\alpha_{j}\left(s_{1}, s_{2}\right)=\frac{\log (p /(1-p))}{\log \left(s_{2} / s_{1}\right)}
$$

- Probability that s1 defeats s2 in Round j

$$
\frac{s_{2}^{\alpha_{j}\left(s_{1}, s_{2}\right)}}{s_{1}^{\alpha_{j}\left(s_{1}, s_{2}\right)}+s_{2}^{\alpha_{j}\left(s_{1}, s_{2}\right)}}
$$

- Positive Alpha value: Larger winning probability fo stronger seed.
Negative Alpha value: Smaller winning probability for stronger seed.
Alpha value of zero: Random pick
- Alpha value of infinity: Always pick the stronger seed.

Alpha value of one: Seeds provide a linear proportion of probability of winning (neutral)

## Alpha Values

- Round of 64

Match up Alpha (2012) Alpha (2013) Alpha (2014) Alpha (2015)

| $(1,16)$ | 3.00 | 3.00 | 3.00 | 3.00 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $(2,15)$ | 1.62 | 1.43 | 1.36 | 1.38 |
| $(3,14)$ | 1.36 | 1.16 | 1.14 | 1.13 |
| $(4,13)$ | 1.11 | 1.10 | 1.10 | 1.13 |
| $(5,12)$ | 0.79 | 0.76 | 0.69 | 0.62 |
| $(6,11)$ | 1.14 | 1.10 | 1.12 | 1.08 |
| $(7,10)$ | 1.16 | 1.12 | 1.18 | 1.23 |
| $(8,9)$ | -0.94 | -0.61 | -0.59 | -0.28 |

- Round of 32

| Match up | Alpha (2012) | Alpha (2013) | Alpha (2014) | Alpha (2015) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $(1,8)$ | 0.68 | 0.71 | 0.73 | 0.71 |
| $(1,9)$ | 1.18 | 1.18 | 1.09 | 1.10 |
| $(2,7)$ | 0.81 | 0.83 | 0.86 | 0.85 |
| $(2,10)$ | 0.19 | 0.21 | 0.24 | 0.20 |
| $(3,6)$ | 0.34 | 0.33 | 0.42 | 0.40 |
| $(3,11)$ | 0.53 | 0.50 | 0.53 | 0.47 |
| $(4,5)$ | 0.31 | 0.60 | 0.74 | 0.87 |
| $(4,12)$ | 0.34 | 0.40 | 0.37 | 0.51 |
| $(5,13)$ | 1.36 | 1.36 | 1.36 | 1.36 |
| $(6,14)$ | 2.01 | 2.01 | 2.11 | 2.11 |
| $(7,15)$ | - | - | 0.91 | 0.91 |
| $(10,15)$ | - | - | - | - |
| $(11,14)$ | - | - | - | - |
| $(12,13)$ | $25.98^{*}$ | $17.32^{*}$ | $12.25^{*}$ | $12.25^{*}$ |
| Other match ups | 0.65 | 0.70 | 0.73 | 0.74 |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Alpha values of Round 3 |  |  |  |

- Remaining Rounds

One Alpha value is used for all match ups computed as the weighted average of different pairs of Alpha values

Round Alpha (2012) Alpha (2013) Alpha (2014) Alpha (2015)

| 4 | 0.82 | 0.86 | 0.83 | 0.80 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 5 | 0.27 | 0.22 | 0.03 | 0.05 |
| 6 | 0.43 | 0.48 | 0.48 | 0.48 |
| 7 | 1.35 | 1.44 | 1.45 | 1.45 |

## Evaluation

- ESPN scoring system
- 10 points for each correct pick in Round of 64 .
- Each correct pick worth twice its prior round: correct pick for National Champion is 320 points.
- Results based on one million generated brackets



Distribution of scores in the first three rounds of 2015

| Number of correct picks |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Tournament | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |
| 2012 | 23.18 | 45.81 | 25.94 | 4.93 | 0.14 |
| 2013 | 44.24 | 52.50 | 3.20 | 0.05 | 0.01 |
| 2014 | 36.48 | 48.19 | 15.09 | 0.23 | 0.01 |
| 2015 | 15.44 | 39.95 | 34.39 | 10.07 | 0.15 |
| Proportion of correct picks in Final Four |  |  |  |  |  |


| Tournament | Number of correct picks |  |  | Number of correct picks |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 0 | 1 | 2 | Tournament | 0 | 1 |
| 2012 | 59.95 | 36.11 | 3.94 | 2012 | 79.63 | 20.37 |
| 2013 | 67.81 | 31.91 | 0.28 | 2013 | 79.49 | 20.51 |
| 2014 | 99.64 | 0.35 | 0.01 | 2014 | 99.99 | 0.01 |
| 2015 | 51.43 | 40.27 | 8.30 | 2015 | 80.74 | 19.26 |
| Proportion of correct picks in Rounds 6 and 7 |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Discussion

- A two-peak distribution of scores
- Value of correct picks in later rounds
- One-peak for 2014 , where a seed 7 won the championship for the first time
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## Conclusions

- The Power Model is an intuitive model to sample from the large pool of possible brackets in the NCAA D Men's Basketball Tournament.
The Alpha values summarize the performance history of each seed match up in a round.
Generating a good bracket is more difficult for tournaments with many upsets or two few upsets in the early rounds.
The results show a bell-shaped figure for each round and a two-peak distribution for the whole tournament
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